

CONTENTS

| | | |
|-------|--|----|
| 1. | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1. | Background | 1 |
| 1.2. | Scope | 3 |
| 1.3. | Structure | 3 |
| 2. | REVIEW OF WORLDWIDE NUCLEAR FUEL CYCLE ACTIVITIES | 3 |
| 2.1. | Trends | 3 |
| 2.2. | Mining and milling | 5 |
| 2.3. | Conversion | 5 |
| 2.4. | Enrichment | 6 |
| 2.5. | Fuel fabrication | 8 |
| 2.6. | Heavy water production | 9 |
| 2.7. | Spent fuel management | 9 |
| 2.8. | Dismantling of nuclear fuel cycle facilities | 10 |
| 3. | COUNTRY NUCLEAR FUEL CYCLE PROFILES | 21 |
| 3.1. | Argentina | 21 |
| 3.2. | Armenia | 23 |
| 3.3. | Australia | 25 |
| 3.4. | Belgium | 26 |
| 3.5. | Brazil | 28 |
| 3.6. | Bulgaria | 30 |
| 3.7. | Canada | 32 |
| 3.8. | China | 34 |
| 3.9. | Czech Republic | 36 |
| 3.10. | Finland | 38 |
| 3.11. | France | 40 |
| 3.12. | Gabon | 43 |
| 3.13. | Germany | 44 |
| 3.14. | Hungary | 46 |
| 3.15. | India | 47 |
| 3.16. | Japan | 50 |
| 3.17. | Kazakhstan | 52 |
| 3.18. | Republic of Korea | 53 |

| | |
|--------------------------------------|----|
| 3.19. Lithuania | 55 |
| 3.20. Mexico | 57 |
| 3.21. Mongolia | 59 |
| 3.22. Namibia | 60 |
| 3.23. Netherlands | 60 |
| 3.24. Niger | 62 |
| 3.25. Pakistan | 63 |
| 3.26. Portugal | 65 |
| 3.27. Romania | 66 |
| 3.28. Russian Federation | 68 |
| 3.29. Slovakia | 70 |
| 3.30. Slovenia | 72 |
| 3.31. South Africa | 74 |
| 3.32. Spain | 76 |
| 3.33. Sweden | 78 |
| 3.34. Switzerland | 79 |
| 3.35. Ukraine | 81 |
| 3.36. United Kingdom | 83 |
| 3.37. United States of America | 85 |
| 3.38. Uzbekistan | 87 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| BIBLIOGRAPHY | 89 |
| CONTRIBUTORS TO DRAFTING AND REVIEW | 91 |