Contents

I. OBJETIVES, GUIDELINES, FEATURES AND CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK	
I.I. OBJECTIVES	5
I.2. GUIDELINES	5
I.3.FEATURESOF THE PLAN	6
I.4. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK	6
1.4.1.Towardsa knowledge-based society	6
1.4.2. Science, technology and innovation policies	7
1.4.3. The National System of Innovation approach	8
1.4.4. Market failures	9
1.4.5. The importance of planning	9
1.4.6. Specific policies	10
1.4.7. Institutional design	11
1.4.8.The recent Argentine experience	11
2. THE ARGENTINE SITUATION	13
2.1. PERFORMANCE OF THE ECONOMY	
2.2. TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION IN THE ARGENTINE INDUSTRY	14
2.3. THE EDUCATION SECTOR	19
2.3.1. Jhe challenges	19
2.3.2. The legal framework	19
2.3.3. Short- and medium term goals of educational policy	20
2.3.4. Pre-university education - policy instruments and results	21
2.3.5. Higher education - policy instruments and results	22
2.4. THE NATIONAL EFFORT IN SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	24
2.4.1. Expenditure on scientific and technological activities	25
2.4.2. Human resources	26
2.4.3. Results: publications and patents	27
2.4.4. Conclusions	27
2.5. NEW SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY AND INNOVATION POLICIES	28
2.5.1. Promoting the scientific base	28
2.5.2. Promoting technological Innovation	33
2.5.3. Planning and <i>evaluation</i> of public sector S&T organisms	37
2.5.4. International cooperation	37 41
2.6. CONCLUSIONS	41
3. SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY AND INNOVATION POLICIES	
3.1. HORIZONTAL POLICIES	43
3.1.1. Jhe expansion of the S&T base	44
3.1.2. Promoting S&T research	46
3.1.3. Support program for private S&T institutions of public interest	46
3.1.4. Incentives to increase investments by the private sector	
in technological modernization and innovation	47
	þágina

þágina 3

Multiannual National Plan on Science & Technology 1999-2001

3.1.5. Horizontal policy instruments and institutional strengthening	
through the Inter-Ameritan Development Bank	49
3.1.6. Application of new information and communication technologies	50
3.1.7. Technological parks and incubators for technology-based firms	52
3.1.8. International relations policies	54
3.2. PUBLIC S&T ORGANISMS (STO)	56
3.2.1. National Council of Scientific and Technical Research (CONICET)	56
3.2. 2. National Commission for Atomic Energy (CNEA)	58
3.2.3. National Institute of Agricultural Technology (INTA)	60
3.2.4. National Institute of Industrial Technology (INTI)	61
3.2.5. Argentine Geological Mining Survey (SEGEMAR)	63
3.2. 6. National Administration of Laboratories and	
Health Institutes (ANLIS)	65
3.2. 7. National Institute of Fisheries Research and Development (INIDEP)	66
3.2.8. National Institute of Water and Environment (INA)	66
3.2. 9. National Commission for Space Activities (CONAE)	67
3.2. 10 .Armed Forces' Scientific and Technical Research Institute (CITEFA)	69
3.2. 11. Argentine Antarctic Institute (IAA)	70
3.2. 12. Constituyentes Technological Pole as a coordinator among STOs	71
3.2./3. STO budgets	72
3.3. PRIORITIES BY SECTOR AND SPECIFIC SUBJECTS	73
3.3. 1. Agrifood production	74
3.3.2. Manufacturing industries	77
3.3.3. Mining	78
3.3. 4. Energy	78
3.3. 5. Defense	80
3.3. 6. Clean technologies	81
3.3. 7. Microelectronic applications	83
3.3.8. National System of Innovation studies	83
3.3. 9. Health	84
3.3. 1 0. Education	85
3.3. 11. Violence and citizen security	86
3.3.12. Gender studies	86
3.3.13. Biotechnology	87
3.3.14. Argentine sea	88
3.3.15. Climate change	89
3.3.16. Biodiversity	90 91
3.3.17. Natural resources and environment	93
3.4. REGIONAL POLICIES	93
3.4. 1. The construction of regional and provincial S&T agendas	93
3.4.2. Provincial thematic priorities	94
4. ANNEX	99

99

171

3313115)

のないので、「ないないない」で、「ないない」で、