

CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1.	Purpose and scope	2
1.2.	Structure	4
2.	PAST PRACTICE VERSUS CURRENT STANDARDS	4
2.1.	Relevant factors in past practice	4
2.2.	Relevant practices in current design and construction	6
3.	STRATEGIES AND PLANNING	8
3.1.	Strategy development	8
3.1.1.	Future use of a site	10
3.1.2.	Site cleanup criteria/end state specification	11
3.1.3.	Entombment decisions	11
3.2.	Detailed planning and engineering	12
3.2.1.	Inputs to project planning	12
3.2.2.	Key engineering issues	16
3.2.3.	Implementation aspects	18
3.2.4.	Feedback	21
3.2.5.	Project baseline	21
3.3.	Selection of optimum decommissioning strategy	22
3.4.	Uncertainties in the transition from planning to execution ...	23
4.	DECOMMISSIONING EXPERIENCE AND TECHNOLOGIES FOR UNDERGROUND PIPING	24
4.1.	Characterization of physical, radiological and hazardous materials	25
4.1.1.	Geophysical characterization objectives	27
4.1.2.	Geophysical characterization techniques	28
4.1.3.	Non-destructive testing	28
4.1.4.	Radiological characterization	32
4.1.5.	Characterizaion of hazardous constituents	36
4.2.	Cutting and removal	38
4.2.1.	Preparations for work	38
4.2.2.	Thermal cutting	40

4.2.3.	Mechanical cutting	41
4.2.4.	Technique evaluation and selection	44
4.3.	Selected experience in dismantling of piping	44
4.4.	Worker protection	52
5.	DECOMMISSIONING EXPERIENCE AND TECHNOLOGIES FOR UNDERGROUND TANKS	53
5.1.	Physical and radiological characterization	54
5.1.1.	Physical characterization	54
5.1.2.	Radiological characterization	56
5.2.	Dismantling techniques for underground tanks	59
5.2.1.	Methods for cutting of metallic components	59
5.2.2.	Concrete structures	61
5.3.	Selected experience with tank waste removal projects	62
5.3.1.	Waste removal in the USA	62
5.3.2.	Waste removal from the A-1 nuclear power plant in Slovakia	64
5.3.3.	Waste removal from Magnox power stations in the UK	65
5.3.4.	Waste removal from Garigliano nuclear power plant in Italy	68
5.4.	Entombment of underground tanks	69
6.	SELECTED DECOMMISSIONING EXPERIENCE FOR VAULTS AND TUNNELS	71
6.1.	Waste removal, decontamination and dismantling projects	72
6.1.1.	Energy Technology Engineering Center, Canoga Park, California	72
6.1.2.	Idaho chemical processing plant, Idaho Falls	73
6.1.3.	Fort St. Vrain nuclear power plant, Colorado	73
6.1.4.	Garigliano nuclear power plant, Italy	73
6.1.5.	Phase separator pit, Los Alamos, New Mexico	75
6.1.6.	Hot cells in Building A59, Winfrith, UK	75
6.1.7.	Map Tube facility, Argonne, Illinois	76
6.1.8.	Lucens experimental power reactor, Switzerland	76
6.1.9.	Vandellós 1 graphite vaults, Spain	77
6.2.	Vault entombment projects	77
7.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	80

REFERENCES	82
ANNEXES I-IX: EXAMPLES OF NATIONAL EXPERIENCE.....	95
ANNEX I: DECOMMISSIONING OF A SECTION OF A DISCHARGE LINE IN BELGIUM	97
ANNEX II: SITUATION OF UNDERGROUND COMPONENTS IN THE NUCLEAR RESEARCH INSTITUTE AT ŘEŽ, CZECH REPUBLIC.....	106
ANNEX III: DECOMMISSIONING AT VANDELLÓS 1 NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, SPAIN: EMBEDDED AND UNDERGROUND COMPONENTS	115
ANNEX IV: DECOMMISSIONING AND SITE RESTORATION OF THE SWISS UNDERGROUND EXPERIMENTAL POWER REACTOR AT LUCENS	123
ANNEX V: RECENT EXPERIENCE IN DECOMMISSIONING DRAINS AND UNDERGROUND DUCTS, UK.....	137
ANNEX VI: OPERATING EXPERIENCE WITH DECOMMISSIONING OF UNDERGROUND COMPONENTS, USA	144
ANNEX VII: RECENT EXPERIENCE IN DECOMMISSIONING OF UNDERGROUND TANKS AT THE JASLOVSKÉ BOHUNICE A-1 NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, SLOVAKIA	151
ANNEX VIII: EXPERIENCE WITH UNDERGROUND PIPELINES AND DUCTS AT THE CIRUS REACTOR, INDIA...	162
ANNEX IX: STRATEGY FOR DECOMMISSIONING OF UNDERGROUND PIPES AT THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ONCOLOGY AND RADIOBIOLOGY IN HAVANA, CUBA	171

ANNEX X: LESSONS LEARNED DURING DECOMMISSIONING OF UNDERGROUND STRUCTURES, SYSTEMS AND COMPONENTS	182
CONTRIBUTORS TO DRAFTING AND REVIEW	204