

CONTENTS

| | | |
|----|---|----|
| 1. | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1. Background | 1 |
| | 1.2. Scope | 2 |
| 2. | LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT AND STEWARDSHIP | 3 |
| | 2.1. A change in paradigms | 3 |
| | 2.2. Definitions of stewardship | 4 |
| | 2.3. When does long term stewardship begin? | 5 |
| | 2.4. When does long term stewardship end? | 6 |
| 3. | REMEDIAL ACTIONS: OBJECTIVES AND OUTCOMES | 7 |
| | 3.1. Regulatory framework | 7 |
| | 3.2. Remediation options | 9 |
| | 3.3. Measuring success | 10 |
| 4. | CONCEPTUALIZING RISK | 11 |
| | 4.1. Introduction | 11 |
| | 4.2. Environmental risk assessment | 11 |
| | 4.3. Risk management | 11 |
| | 4.4. Risk communication | 15 |
| 5. | MANAGEMENT CHALLENGES | 15 |
| | 5.1. Framing the management challenges within multiple time frames | 15 |
| | 5.2. Decision making in the presence of large uncertainties | 18 |
| | 5.3. Trust, constancy and learning | 19 |
| | 5.4. Identification of possible stewards | 19 |
| | 5.5. Factors promoting longevity of institutions | 20 |
| | 5.6. Maintaining institutional control | 21 |
| | 5.7. Integration of planning for stewardship into the remediation plan | 23 |
| | 5.8. Transition to the stewardship phase | 24 |
| | 5.9. Provision of a skill base and retention of knowledge | 25 |

| | | |
|---------|---|-----------|
| 5.10. | Research needs | 29 |
| 5.10.1. | Meeting the management challenges | 29 |
| 5.10.2. | Development of management tools | 30 |
| 6. | SOCIETAL CHALLENGES | 30 |
| 6.1. | Introduction | 30 |
| 6.2. | Partnership building and purpose | 32 |
| 6.3. | Societal criteria for defining and implementing stewardship strategies | 35 |
| 6.4. | Who are the stakeholders? | 39 |
| 6.5. | Procedures for stakeholder based decisions | 41 |
| 6.6. | What are the issues? (managing ethical questions) | 44 |
| 6.7. | Keeping stakeholders involved | 49 |
| 6.8. | Research needs | 51 |
| 7. | ECONOMIC CONTEXT | 52 |
| 7.1. | Overview | 52 |
| 7.2. | Funding mechanisms | 53 |
| 7.3. | Life cycle costing | 54 |
| 7.4. | Planning for new and operational installations | 55 |
| 7.4.1. | Sources of funds | 55 |
| 7.4.2. | Fund structuring | 56 |
| 7.4.3. | Management of funds | 57 |
| 7.5. | Management of legacy sites | 58 |
| 7.6. | Future land use | 60 |
| 7.7. | Research needs | 61 |
| 8. | TECHNOLOGICAL CHALLENGES | 62 |
| 8.1. | Context | 62 |
| 8.2. | Risk based versus deterministic performance assessment | 65 |
| 8.3. | Consideration of non-radiological hazards | 65 |
| 8.4. | Understanding the site and its environment | 66 |
| 8.5. | Long term behaviour of engineering solutions | 66 |
| 8.5.1. | Design goals and boundary conditions | 66 |
| 8.5.2. | Design for long term stability | 68 |
| 8.6. | Regulatory requirements versus technological development | 70 |
| 8.7. | Research needs | 71 |

| | | |
|---------|---|------------|
| 8.7.1. | Road mapping of technological development | 71 |
| 8.7.2. | Learning from the past to predict the future | 71 |
| 9. | INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT | 73 |
| 9.1. | Introduction | 73 |
| 9.2. | Knowledge forms and knowledge sharing | 74 |
| 9.3. | What is a record? | 77 |
| 9.4. | Why are records needed? | 78 |
| 9.5. | Records management challenges | 80 |
| 9.6. | Types of data and information needed | 81 |
| 9.7. | Selection of records for retention | 83 |
| 9.8. | Quality requirements and standards for record keeping | 84 |
| 9.9. | Records management strategies | 85 |
| 9.10. | Recording media | 88 |
| 9.10.1. | Overview | 88 |
| 9.10.2. | Digital records | 90 |
| 9.11. | Coding of information | 91 |
| 9.12. | Records storage facilities | 92 |
| 9.13. | Research needs | 93 |
| 9.13.1. | Records management | 93 |
| 9.13.2. | Storage facility designs | 94 |
| 10. | MONITORING AND PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT | 94 |
| 10.1. | Objectives | 94 |
| 10.2. | The scope of monitoring programmes | 96 |
| 10.3. | Challenges for monitoring techniques | 98 |
| 10.4. | Phases of a monitoring programme | 99 |
| 10.5. | Example: monitoring at former mining sites | 99 |
| 10.5.1. | Issues of concern | 99 |
| 10.5.2. | Monitoring at waste rock piles and tailings ponds | 100 |
| 10.5.3. | Monitoring at closed mines | 100 |
| 10.5.4. | Scope of monitoring versus land use | 101 |
| 10.6. | Research needs | 101 |
| 11. | SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS | 102 |
| 11.1. | Defining the issue | 102 |
| 11.2. | Being adaptive | 103 |
| 11.3. | Focusing on realistic time frames | 104 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 11.4. Keeping stakeholders involved | 104 |
| 11.5. Allowance for economic changes | 104 |
| 11.6. Engineering with nature | 105 |
| 11.7. Keeping records 'alive' | 105 |
| 11.8. Monitoring provides feedback | 105 |
| 11.9. Concluding observation | 106 |
| REFERENCES | 106 |
| ANNEX I: Post-remediation control of radioactively contaminated sites in the Russian Federation: Regulatory, information and technological aspects of a long term stewardship programme <i>A.M. Agapov, A.A. Iskra, V.K. Popov</i> | 117 |
| ANNEX II: AECL's approach to managing long term liabilities at Chalk River laboratories <i>M.C. Audet</i> | 132 |
| ANNEX III: Institutional control: Following site clearance <i>R. Haworth</i> | 147 |
| ANNEX IV: Post-remedial handling of uranium mining and milling residues <i>S. Hurst, F. Leder</i> | 159 |
| ANNEX V: Long term management of uranium mill waste: Proposal for stewardship after closure of the tailings pond <i>A.H. Khan, F. Sunny, S.K. Sahoo, V.D. Puranik</i> | 171 |
| ANNEX VI: Management of long term radiological liabilities: Stewardship challenges — An Argentinian case study <i>E. Maset, M. Audero, R. Andresik</i> | 185 |
| ANNEX VII: The United States National Academies perspective on long term stewardship <i>B. Pastina</i> | 196 |
| ANNEX VIII: Planning for the long term stewardship of the UKAEA Dounreay site <i>M. Pearl, M.S. Tait, D. Graham</i> | 212 |

CONTRIBUTORS TO DRAFTING AND REVIEW 223