

# CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
2.	PURPOSE AND SCOPE .....	4
3.	STRUCTURE .....	5
4.	CONSIDERATION OF DECOMMISSIONING ALTERNATIVES .....	7
4.1.	Decommissioning options .....	7
4.1.1.	Immediate dismantling .....	7
4.1.2.	Deferred dismantling .....	7
4.1.3.	Entombment .....	8
4.2.	Decommissioning objectives .....	8
4.3.	Decommissioning and reuse .....	8
4.4.	Integration of decommissioning and redevelopment .....	10
4.5.	Redevelopment phases .....	18
5.	REDEVELOPMENT ISSUES .....	19
5.1.	Policy issues in planning for redevelopment .....	20
5.1.1.	Evaluating sites .....	20
5.1.2.	Relationships with outside stakeholders .....	23
5.1.3.	Social factors .....	25
5.1.4.	Nuclear reuse .....	26
5.1.5.	Long term site use/mission .....	28
5.1.6.	Facility ownership .....	30
5.2.	Management issues .....	31
5.2.1.	Staff reduction strategy .....	31
5.2.2.	Management complexity .....	33
5.2.3.	Stewardship .....	34
5.3.	Technical issues .....	35
5.3.1.	Continuity of site services .....	36
5.3.2.	Construction sequence .....	36
5.3.3.	Redevelopment of buffer areas .....	37
5.3.4.	Interim reuse .....	37
5.4.	Property value issues .....	38
5.4.1.	Radiological release criteria .....	38

5.4.2.	Waste volumes .....	40
5.4.3.	Site characterization data .....	41
5.4.4.	Age and condition of structures .....	42
5.4.5.	Trees and other natural features .....	42
5.4.6.	Underground features .....	43
5.5.	Key development assets .....	44
5.5.1.	Standard of living .....	44
5.5.2.	Electricity grid connection .....	45
5.5.3.	Site accessibility .....	45
5.5.4.	Infrastructure network .....	45
5.5.5.	Skilled workforce .....	46
5.5.6.	Accommodations for administration .....	46
5.5.7.	Support services .....	46
5.5.8.	Machine shops .....	47
5.5.9.	Suitable terrain .....	47
5.5.10.	Leisure facilities .....	47
6.	<b>REDEVELOPMENT: ORGANIZATION, ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES</b> .....	48
6.1.	Need for early planning for redevelopment .....	48
6.2.	Key players in planning for redevelopment .....	48
6.3.	Roles and responsibilities .....	50
6.3.1.	Current owner .....	50
6.3.2.	Authorities .....	53
6.3.3.	Developers and investors .....	54
6.3.4.	Other stakeholders .....	55
7.	<b>OPERATING EXPERIENCE IN REUSE OF DECOMMISSIONED SITES</b> .....	56
7.1.	Experience in the USA .....	57
7.1.1.	United Nuclear Corporation Naval Products .....	57
7.1.2.	Luminous Processes site .....	57
7.1.3.	South Carolina Advanced Technology Park .....	57
7.1.4.	Bergstrom Air Force Base .....	58
7.1.5.	Pinellas plant .....	59
7.1.6.	Santa Susana Field Laboratory facilities .....	59
7.1.7.	Idaho National Laboratory facilities .....	60
7.1.8.	Argonne National Laboratory facilities .....	60
7.1.9.	Oak Ridge Reservation .....	61

7.1.10. Other USDOE sites .....	62
7.1.11. Superfund sites .....	62
7.1.12. Nuclear power plant sites .....	64
7.2. Experience in the United Kingdom .....	67
7.2.1. Winfrith site .....	67
7.2.2. Harwell site .....	71
7.2.3. Dounreay site .....	72
7.2.4. Other United Kingdom sites .....	72
7.3. Experience in other countries .....	73
7.3.1. Russian Federation .....	73
7.3.2. Germany .....	75
7.3.3. France .....	75
7.3.4. Italy .....	76
7.4. Reuse of cancelled nuclear projects .....	76
7.4.1. Zimmer power plant, Ohio, USA .....	77
7.4.2. Midland power plant, Michigan, USA .....	77
7.4.3. Satsop power plant, Washington, USA .....	78
7.4.4. Kalkar nuclear power plant, Germany .....	80
7.4.5. Zwentendorf nuclear power plant, Austria .....	80
7.4.6. Clinch River Breeder Reactor site, Tennessee, USA ..	81
8. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION .....	81
APPENDIX: METHOD FOR EXPLORATION OF CLOSURE AND REDEVELOPMENT SCENARIOS .....	83
REFERENCES .....	87
ANNEX I: EXAMPLES OF NATIONAL EXPERIENCE .....	99
ANNEX I.A: REUSE OF THE ASTRA RESEARCH REACTOR, AUSTRIA .....	100
I.A-1. Introduction .....	100
I.A-2. Concept of reuse of the reactor building after decommissioning .....	101
I.A-3. Alterations for reuse of the buildings .....	102
I.A-4. Estimated costs .....	104
I.A-5. Conclusion .....	104
Reference to Annex I.A .....	105

<b>ANNEX I.B: SITE REUSE EXPERIENCE IN BELGIUM</b> .....	106
I.B-1. Introduction .....	106
I.B-2. Factors influencing the choice of the VITO location and the decommissioning and reuse strategy .....	106
I.B-2.1. Limitation of costs .....	108
I.B-2.2. Re-employment opportunities .....	108
I.B-3. Arrangements prior to the actual decommissioning activities .....	109
I.B-3.1. Preliminary reflections .....	109
I.B-3.2. Drawing up of the safety report .....	109
I.B-3.3. Definition of the unrestricted release and/or reuse limits .....	110
I.B-3.4. Gathering physical and radiological characteristics of the buildings .....	110
I.B-4. Decommissioning activities .....	111
I.B-4.1. Dismantling methodology .....	112
I.B-4.2. Decommissioning tools and equipment .....	113
I.B-4.3. Radiation protection .....	113
I.B-4.4. Material flow management .....	113
I.B-5. Results obtained and lessons learned from the decommissioning .....	113
I.B-6. Costs .....	114
I.B-7. Overall advantages and lessons learned from this reuse project .....	115
I.B-8. Current similar projects .....	116
I.B-9. Conclusion .....	116
Bibliography to Annex I.B .....	119

<b>ANNEX I.C: DECOMMISSIONING AND SITE REUSE   IN DENMARK</b> .....	120
I.C-1. Introduction .....	120
I.C-2. Planning for decommissioning .....	120
I.C-3. Description of the nuclear facilities .....	120
I.C-3.1. Research reactor DR-1 .....	120
I.C-3.2. Research reactor DR-2 .....	120
I.C-3.3. Research reactor DR-3 .....	120
I.C-3.4. Hot cell facility .....	120
I.C-3.5. Waste management plant with storage facilities ..	120
I.C-4. Considerations concerning reuse .....	120

I.C-4.1. DR-2 building reuse .....	128
I.C-4.2. Hot cell building reuse .....	129
References to Annex I.C .....	129

<b>ANNEX I.D: SOCIAL ASPECTS OF THE DECOMMISSIONING AND REUSE OF THE GREIFSWALD NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, GERMANY .....</b>	<b>130</b>
--	------------

I.D-1. Introduction .....	130
I.D-2. Social strategy .....	131
I.D-3. Personnel strategy .....	131
1.D-3.1. Practical realization .....	134
I.D-4. Future site reuse .....	134
I.D-5. Conclusion .....	136

<b>ANNEX I.E: FINAL FOOTPRINT PROJECT: BEST PRACTICE IN CLOSURE AND REDEVELOPMENT PLANNING IN THE SOUTH AFRICAN MINING INDUSTRY.....</b>	<b>137</b>
--	------------

<b>ANNEX I.F: REUSE OF NUCLEAR SITES IN SWITZERLAND ...</b>	<b>142</b>
---	------------

I.F-1. Introduction .....	142
I.F-2. Reuse of the Lucens site .....	142
I.F-3. Reuse of the DIORIT and SAPHIR research reactor sites .....	142
I.F-4. Future reuse of Swiss power reactor sites .....	143
I.F-5. Collection of proposals for reuse of Swiss sites other than nuclear sites .....	148

<b>ANNEX I.G: REDEVELOPMENT OF UNITED KINGDOM SITES .....</b>	<b>151</b>
---	------------

I.G-1. Introduction .....	151
I.G-2. Disposal of sites .....	152
I.G-3. Retained sites: reuse and renewal .....	152
I.G-4. Commercial development .....	154
I.G-5. Marketing .....	156
I.G-6. Stakeholder management .....	157
I.G-7. Site ecology .....	158
I.G-8. Conclusion .....	159

ANNEX I.H: REINDUSTRIALIZATION OF THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY'S MOUND SITE .....	160
I.H-1. Introduction .....	160
I.H-2. Past operations .....	160
I.H-3. Present operations .....	161
I.H-4. Types of contaminant .....	162
I.H-5. Types of facility .....	163
I.H-6. Reindustrialization concept .....	163
I.H-6.1. Overview .....	163
I.H-6.2. Improvements/grant funding sources .....	164
I.H-6.3. Location/other assets .....	165
I.H-6.4. Business development .....	165
I.H-7. Cleanup progress .....	166
I.H-8. Reindustrialization progress and problems .....	167
I.H-9. Conclusion .....	169
Bibliography to Annex I.H .....	171

ANNEX I.I: REINDUSTRIALIZATION OF THE FORMER K-25 COMPLEX, OAK RIDGE, TENNESSEE, USA .....	172
I.I-1. Introduction .....	172
I.I-1.1. History of the K-25 complex .....	172
I.I-1.2. Types of facility remaining .....	173
I.I-1.3. Types of contamination .....	174
I.I-2. Reindustrialization concept .....	174
I.I-3. Community Reuse Organization of East Tennessee .....	175
I.I-4. Role of state and federal regulators .....	176
I.I-5. Cleanup actions .....	178
I.I-6. Reindustrialization progress and problems .....	178
I.I-6.1. CROET .....	178
I.I-6.2. British Nuclear Fuels .....	180
I.I-6.3. Decon Recovery Services .....	180
I.I-6.4. Pall Industrial Membranes .....	181
I.I-7. Problems encountered .....	181
I.I-7.1. Reuse of decommissioned facilities .....	181
I.I-7.2. Changing political priorities .....	184
I.I-8. Conclusion .....	187
References to Annex I.I .....	187

ANNEX II: PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED AND LESSONS LEARNED FROM THE PROCESS OF REUSING DECOMMISSIONED NUCLEAR SITES .....	189
II-1. Argonne National Laboratory Site — CP-5 .....	189
II-2. Argonne National Laboratory Site — EBWR .....	189
II-3. East Tennessee Technology Park — utility systems .....	190
II-4. East Tennessee Technology Park — access issues .....	190
II-5. Hanford site .....	191
II-6. USDOE (generic) — on-site versus off-site planners .....	192
II-7. USDOE (generic) — integrated approach to infrastructure .....	193
II-8. USDOE (generic) — environmental versus occupational risks .....	193
II-9. East Tennessee Technology Park — contractorization issues .....	194
II-10. East Tennessee Technology Park — impacts of policy changes .....	195
II-11. FRF-1/FRF-2, Germany .....	196
References to Annex II .....	196
GLOSSARY .....	199
CONTRIBUTORS TO DRAFTING AND REVIEW .....	203